UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

FILED

2008 JUL 29 AM 11: 12

TO:	☑ U. S. D	DISTRICT JUDGE / U.S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE
FROM: R. Mullin, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 7/25/2008		
CASE NO.: 08cv1143 LAB (NLS) DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff		
CASE TITLE: Gulbrandson v. Goodyear Tire & Rubber Company		
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Motion for Extension of Time		
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:		
1	Local Rule	Discrepancy
X	5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
	5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
	5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
	7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
X	7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
	7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
	7.1	Missing table of contents
	15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
	30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
	,	Supplemental documents require court order
$\bar{\Box}$		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X		OTHER: Improper format.
		Date forwarded: <u>7/28/2008</u>
ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:		
го л		
<u> </u>	The document is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.	
	The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
	Rejected do	ocument to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
	Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant	
	to Local Ri	CHAMBERS OF:
	Dated: 7	CHAMBERS OF: July Pours By: Kup, PSLC ties